



## UNITED STATES PATENT AND TRADEMARK OFFICE

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.usplo.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.	
10/785,253	02/23/2004	Akihiro Mimoto	CFA00057US	8861	
7: Canon U.S.A. In	590 01/29/2007	EXAMINER			
Intellectual Property Department 15975 Alton Parkway Irvine, CA 92618-3731			NGUYEN, TUAN HOANG		
			ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER	
			2618		
SHORTENED STATUTORY	PERIOD OF RESPONSE	MAIL DATE	DELIVERY MODE		
3 MON	THS	01/29/2007	PAPER		

# Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

If NO period for reply is specified above, the maximum statutory period will apply and will expire 6 MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication.

	Application No.	Applicant(s)
	10/785,253	MIMOTO, AKIHIRO
Office Action Summary	Examiner	Art Unit
	Tuan H. Nguyen	2618
The MAILING DATE of this communication app	• •	orrespondence address
Period for Reply	/10 OFT TO EVEIDE AMOUTH!	0\ 00 THDT\/ (00\ DA\/0
A SHORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR REPLY WHICHEVER IS LONGER, FROM THE MAILING DA  - Extensions of time may be available under the provisions of 37 CFR 1.13 after SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication.  - If NO period for reply is specified above, the maximum statutory period w  - Failure to reply within the set or extended period for reply will, by statute, Any reply received by the Office later than three months after the mailing earned patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b).	ATE OF THIS COMMUNICATION 36(a). In no event, however, may a reply be tim vill apply and will expire SIX (6) MONTHS from cause the application to become ABANDONE	N. nely filed the mailing date of this communication. D (35 U.S.C. § 133).
Status		
1) Responsive to communication(s) filed on 31 Oc	ctober 2006.	
2a) ☐ This action is <b>FINAL</b> . 2b) ☒ This	action is non-final.	
3) Since this application is in condition for allowan		
closed in accordance with the practice under E	x parte Quayle, 1935 C.D. 11, 45	53 O.G. 213.
Disposition of Claims		
4) ☐ Claim(s) 1-18 is/are pending in the application. 4a) Of the above claim(s) is/are withdraw 5) ☐ Claim(s) 7-9 and 16-18 is/are allowed. 6) ☐ Claim(s) 1-6 and 10-15 is/are rejected. 7) ☐ Claim(s) is/are objected to. 8) ☐ Claim(s) are subject to restriction and/or		
Application Papers	•	
9) The specification is objected to by the Examiner 10) The drawing(s) filed on is/are: a) access Applicant may not request that any objection to the of Replacement drawing sheet(s) including the correction in the original of the correction and the original	epted or b) objected to by the Edrawing(s) be held in abeyance. See on is required if the drawing(s) is obj	e 37 CFR 1.85(a). jected to. See 37 CFR 1.121(d).
Priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119		
12) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign a) All b) Some * c) None of:  1. Certified copies of the priority documents 2. Certified copies of the priority documents 3. Copies of the certified copies of the prior application from the International Bureau * See the attached detailed Office action for a list of	s have been received. s have been received in Application ity documents have been received (PCT Rule 17.2(a)).	on No ed in this National Stage
Attachment(s)  1) Notice of References Cited (PTO-892)  2) Notice of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948)  3) Information Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO-1449 or PTO/SB/08) Paper No(s)/Mail Date	4) Interview Summary Paper No(s)/Mail Da 5) Notice of Informal P 6) Other:	

Art Unit: 2618

### **DETAILED ACTION**

#### Response To Arguments

1. Applicant's arguments, see applicant's remarks, filed on 10/31/2006, with respect to the rejection(s) of claims 1-18 under 35 U.S.C § 102(b) and 35 U.S.C § 103(a) have been fully considered and are persuasive. Therefore, the rejection has been withdrawn. However, upon further consideration, a new ground(s) of rejection is made in view of Verster (U.S PAT. 5,214,410) and Su et al. (U.S PAT. 5,212,808 hereinafter "Su").

## Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 103

- 2. The following is a quotation of 35 U.S.C. 103(a) which forms the basis for all obviousness rejections set forth in this Office action:
  - (a) A patent may not be obtained though the invention is not identically disclosed or described as set forth in section 102 of this title, if the differences between the subject matter sought to be patented and the prior art are such that the subject matter as a whole would have been obvious at the time the invention was made to a person having ordinary skill in the art to which said subject matter pertains. Patentability shall not be negatived by the manner in which the invention was made.
- 3. Claims 1-6 and 10-15 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over Verster (U.S PAT. 5,214,410) in view of Su et al. (U.S PAT. 5,212,808 hereinafter "Su").

Art Unit: 2618

Consider claims 1 and 10, Verster teaches a communication comprising: selecting M different numbers (col. 6 lines 21-31); and receiving a signal (col. 1 lines 14-20).

Verster does not explicitly show that clock generating a clock from the signal received by receiving; counting the generated clock; and transmitting information according to the clock count obtained by counting and the selected numbers by selecting.

In the same field of endeavor, Su teaches clock generating a clock from the signal received by receiving (col. 2 lines 11-25); counting the generated clock (col. 2 lines 18-20); and transmitting information according to the clock count obtained by counting and the selected numbers by selecting (col. 14 lines 41-48 and col. 2 lines 11-25).

Therefore, it would have been obvious to one of ordinary skill in the art at the time the invention was made to use, clock generating a clock from the signal received by receiving; counting the generated clock; and transmitting information according to the clock count obtained by counting and the selected numbers by selecting, as taught by Su, in order to re-establish wireless communication after a communication break, between a base unit and a remote unit, and more particularly wherein the base unit and the remote unit comprise components of a digital cordless phone.

Art Unit: 2618

Consider claims 2 and 11, Verster further teaches generating a plurality of numbers, wherein selecting the numbers generated by generating (col. 6 lines 21-31).

Consider claims 3 and 12, Verster further teaches generating the plurality of numbers upon reception of an information transmission instruction (col. 5 lines 47-57).

Consider claims 4 and 13, Verster further teaches storing L numbers, where L>M, wherein selecting M numbers from the L numbers stored in storing (col. 4 lines 35-46).

Consider claims 5 and 14, Verster further teaches transmitting identification information of the communication (col. 1 lines 53-64).

Consider claims 6 and 15, Verster further teaches transmitting the information each time the clock count obtained by said counting matches one of the numbers selected by selecting (col. 4 lines 13-25).

#### Reasons For Allowance

- 4. Claims 7-9 and 16-18 are allowed over the prior art record.
- 5. The following is an examiner's statement of reasons for allowance:

Art Unit: 2618

The applicant's remarks, filed on 10/31/2006, have been carefully reviewed with updated search. Consequently, reasons for allowance of claims 7-9 and 16-18 are set forth in according to the applicant's remarks state on pages 6-10. Any comments considered necessary by applicant must be submitted no later than the payment of the issue fee and, to avoid processing delays, should preferably accompany the issue fee. Such submissions should be clearly labeled "Comments on Statement of Reasons for Allowance."

#### Conclusion

6.	Any response	to this	action	should	be	mailed	to:
----	--------------	---------	--------	--------	----	--------	-----

Mail Stop\_\_\_\_\_ (Explanation, e.g., Amendment or After-final, etc.)

Commissioner for Patents

P.O. Box 1450

Alexandria, VA 22313-1450

Facsimile responses should be faxed to:

(571) 273-8300

Hand-delivered responses should be brought to:

**Customer Service Window** 

Randolph Building

401 Dulany Street

Alexandria, VA 22313

Art Unit: 2618

Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the

examiner should be directed to Tuan H. Nguyen whose telephone number is (571)272-

Page 6

8329. The examiner can normally be reached on 8:00Am - 5:00Pm.

If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's supervisor, Maung Nay A. can be reached on (571)272-7882882. The fax phone number for the organization where this application or proceeding is assigned is (571) 273-8300.

Information Consider the status of an application may be obtained from the Patent Application Information Retrieval (PAIR) system. Status information for published applications may be obtained from either Private PAIR or Public PAIR. Status information for unpublished applications is available through Private PAIR only. For more information about the PAIR system, see http://pair-direct.uspto.gov. Should you have questions on access to the Private PAIR system, contact the Electronic Business Center (EBC) at 866-217-9197 (toll-free).

Tuan Nguyen Examiner Art Unit 2618

> NAY MAUNG SUPERVISORY PATENT EXAMINE